



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

insurance on the property, which stipulates that statements in the application shall be a part of the contract, although in the application the insured agrees to keep a watchman on the premises at such times as that when the fire occurs.

TAXATION—PUBLIC PROPERTY—ARMORIES.—An armory “owned” and occupied by any command of the volunteer military forces of the State is held, in *Board of Trustees of the Gaie City Guards v. Atlanta* (Ga.), 54 L. R. A. 806, not to be public property within the meaning of the constitutional provision authorizing the exemption from taxation of all public property; and a statute declaring that it shall be to all intents and purposes public property, and exempt from taxation, is held to be void.

MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS—NEGLIGENCE—FAILURE TO ENFORCE ORDINANCE.—Failure of a municipality to attempt to enforce its ordinance limiting the speed at which bicycles may be ridden on its streets is held, in *Hagerstown v. Klotz* (Md.), 54 L. R. A. 940, to render it liable for injuries to a pedestrian knocked down by a bicycle which is being ridden at an immoderate rate of speed. This ruling seems out of line with the authorities. See *Jones v. Williamsburg*, 97 Va. 722; Note 14 C. C. A. 534-547.

INSURANCE AGAINST SICKNESS—CONTINUOUS CONFINEMENT.—Recovery on a policy insuring against sickness, which limits liability to the period when insured is continuously confined to his house and subject to the personal calls of a registered physician in good standing, is held, in *Hoffman v. Michigan Home & H. Asso.* (Mich.), 54 L. R. A. 746, not to be defeated by the fact that the insured went out by direction of his physician for an occasional and necessary airing, if, by reason of his illness, he was continuously confined to the house for a large portion of the time.

INJURIES RESULTING FROM FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH STATUTE.—A merchant who fills a jug with gasoline for a customer, without complying with the statute providing that no gasoline shall be sold unless the package containing it is marked “gasoline,” is held, in *Ives v. Welden* (Ia.), 54 L. R. A. 854, to be liable for injuries to a member of the customer’s family by its explosion when she attempts to use it believing it to be kerosene.

As to damages for breach of a statute, see *Connelly v. West. Union Tel. Co.*, 7 Va. Law Register 704, and note.

PRINCIPAL AND AGENT—LOSS OF MONEY BY BURGLARY.—Where moneys of a railroad company in the hands of an agent were stolen by burglars from a safe in which he had deposited them, *Held*, that in the absence of a special agreement he was not an insurer, but only a bailee answerable for ordinary neglect. He was not responsible for the loss of the money resulting from dangers necessarily incident to its keeping, nor from accident or irresistible force, under which latter term a loss by robbery or burglary is comprehended. *Louisville & N. R. Co. v. Buffington* (Ala.), 31 South. 592.